

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	TOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	
18/914,536	08/19/97	STEVENSON	М	STEV	E-106'	
Г		IM22/1206	7	EXAMINER		
OSEPH C. ANDRAS, ESQ.			CAM	CAMERON, E		
AW OFFICES OF JOSEPH C. ANDRAS				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
50 TOWN CEN OSTA MESA, (		SUITE 650	176	2	11	
			<b>DATE MAILED:</b> 12/06/99			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/914,536

Applicant(s)

Stevenson et al

Examiner

Erma Cameron

Group Art Unit 1762



Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expiss longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to resupplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	pond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 15-17, 20, and 39-55	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s)			
X Claim(s) 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 15-17, 20, and 39-55			
Claim(s)			
Claims			
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi The drawing(s) filed on	by the Examiner.  is approved disapproved.  35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  priority documents have been  national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 1762

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- Claims 1-2, 5, 7-8, 15-17, 20 and 39-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second 1. paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A) Claim 1, (a), (2), (A) and Claim 47, (a), (2), (A): "desired" is vague and indefinite, in that the nature of the "desired" color is not described.
- the meaning of "for use" is not clear. B) Claim 2:
- C) Claims 40, 41, 49 and 50: there is no antecedent basis for step.

2. Claims 1-2, 5, 7-8, 15-17, 20 and 39-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 08/914536

Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

The instructions in the amendment filed 3/22/1999 are not clear; which instance of liquid carrier is meant (17:28)? These instructions have not been deleted.

- 3. Claims 1 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the composition as claimed in Claim 1 as originally filed, does not reasonably provide enablement for the broader composition of Claim 1 or Claim 47. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.
- 4. Claim 1 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- Claim 1: "...powder in an amount sufficient to fuse into and form a permanent bond with the molded polyethylene article..." and
- Claim 47: "...a binder to provide the decorative enhancement composition with temporary adherence..." and

Application/Control Number: 08/914536 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

Claim 47: "...powder that is adapted to fuse into and form a permanent bond with the molded polyethylene article..."

are new matter, not supported by the application as originally filed.

## Claim Objections

5. Claims 1, 46 and 55 are objected to because of the following informalities: These claims lack a period. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 08/914536 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

7. Claims 1-2, 5, 7-8, 15-17, 20 and 39-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 96/23041.

'041 teaches a thermoplastic spray material for bonding to polyethylene (p4) where the composition comprises 5-95% polyethylene powder with particle size less than 50 microns (p18-19), organic or inorganic pigments or mixtures, organic solvents or water or mixtures (p19), and resin particles such as rosins or hydrocarbon resins (p21). After application to a surface, the composition is heated (p20).

The composition of '041 overlaps with applicant's claimed ranges.

The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the overlapping portion of the range disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness. See In re Malagari 182 USPQ 549.

`041 does not teach a clear overcoat, but a color-plus-clear type of surface coating is conventional to the art, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added a protective clear overcoat to the pigmented coating of `041, for protection.

The present application is not entitled to the filing date of 08/566906 because the present application recites a coating composition and process (20-90 percent liquid carrier, 9-50 %

Application/Control Number: 08/914536

Art Unit: 1762

colorant + 50-91 % of binder and particulate thermoplastic powder, to be applied to a molded article) that is different from that of 08/566906 (thermoplastic or thermosetting resin, liquid carrier, binder solid and optional colorant, to be applied to a mold surface). See MPEP 201.11.

Page 6

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erma Cameron whose telephone number is (703) 308-2330.

Erma Cameron

December 3, 1999